Corrigendum to 'A Coincident Index, Common Factors, and Monthly Real GDP' *

ROBERTO S. MARIANO[†]and YASUTOMO MURASAWA[‡]

† School of Economics, Singapore Management University, Singapore 178903 (e-mail: rsmariano@smu.edu.sg)

‡ School of Economics, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan (e-mail: murasawa@eco.osakafu-u.ac.jp)

The score function in Mariano and Murasawa (2010, p. 34) has an error. It should be as follows:

$$egin{aligned} \ell_{oldsymbol{\phi}}(oldsymbol{ heta};oldsymbol{Y}_{T}^{*},oldsymbol{S}_{T}) &= \ldots \ &= ig(oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\otimesoldsymbol{I}_{pN}ig)\sum_{t=1}^{T}ig[(oldsymbol{F}oldsymbol{s}_{t}\otimesoldsymbol{G}oldsymbol{s}_{t-1}) - ig(oldsymbol{I}_{N}\otimesoldsymbol{G}oldsymbol{s}_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{h}_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{\phi}ig] \ &= ig(oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\otimesoldsymbol{I}_{pN}ig)\sum_{t=1}^{T} ext{vec}ig(oldsymbol{G}oldsymbol{s}_{t-1}oldsymbol{s}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{\phi}'ig) \ &= ig(oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\otimesoldsymbol{I}_{pN}ig)\sum_{t=1}^{T} ext{vec}ig(oldsymbol{G}oldsymbol{s}_{t-1}oldsymbol{s}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{\Phi}'ig) \ &= ig(oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\otimesoldsymbol{I}_{pN}ig)\sum_{t=1}^{T} ext{vec}ig(oldsymbol{G}oldsymbol{s}_{t-1}oldsymbol{s}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{\Phi}'ig) \ &= ig(oldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\otimesoldsymbol{I}_{pN}ig)\sum_{t=1}^{T} ext{vec}ig(oldsymbol{G}oldsymbol{s}_{t-1}oldsymbol{s}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{\Phi}'ig) \ &= ig(oldsymbol{S}^{-1}\otimesoldsymbol{S}_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{G}'_{t-1}oldsymbol{S}'_{$$

This error does not affect the likelihood equation and the EM algorithm.

The score function with respect to Σ^{-1} does not impose symmetry of Σ^{-1} . One can impose symmetry, but it is not necessary; see Magnus and Neudecker (1999, p. 316). So this is not an error.

References

- Magnus, J. R. and Neudecker, H. (1999). Matrix Differential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics, revised edn., John Wiley & Sons.
- Mariano, R. S. and Murasawa, Y. (2010). 'A coincident index, common factors, and monthly real GDP', Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, vol. 72, pp. 27–46.

^{*}We thank Zhubin Sun for pointing out this error.